

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,265	08/22/2003	Sheng-Nan Lu	P-3641.251	9465
7.	590 11/12/2004		EXAMINER	
Jackson Walker L.L.P.			WILLIAMS, MARK A	
Suite 2100 112 E. Pecan S	treet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Antonio, TX 78205			3676	
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	;				
		10/646,265	LU ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit	+				
		Mark A. Williams	3676					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	7				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•					
		action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat						
3) 🔲 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa						

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katoh, US Patent 5,970,819, in view of Katoh, US Patent 6,125,507, in further view of Lu, US Patent 5,208,944, or Ching, US Patent 5,772,351, or Chung, US Patent 6,163,928. Katoh discloses a hinge assembly comprising a fixing seat 5c adapted to connected to a laptop computer mainframe; a position seat 1 adapted to connect to a laptop computer screen and having a first annular body 2a defining therein a first position hole 2b and a second annular body 3a opposite to the first annular body and defining therein a second position hole 3b; a fixing ring 4 having a first side face securely engaged with the first annular body and a second side face opposite to the first side face and provided with a pair of notches 4b; a position ring 7 having a pair of protrusions 7b extending out to correspond to the pair of

Art Unit: 3676

notches of the fixing ring; a securing device 9; and a connection rod 5 extending through the fixing seat, the first annular body, the fixing ring, the position ring, the second annular body to securely sandwich the fixing ring and the position ring between the first annular body and the second annular body so as to securely engage with the securing device, whereby pivotal movement of the position seat relative to the fixing seat drives the position ring to pivot such that the protrusions are alternatively received in the corresponding.

Katoh discloses the claimed invention expect (1) a plurality of resilient pads, and (2) a pair of cutouts and a pair of extensions, as claimed.

Regarding (1) each of Lu, Ching, and Chung teach such pads as well known in the art for providing axial biasing forces, for controlling hinge motion, similarly to a helical compression spring. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one skilled in the art to have replace the spring of Katoh with elastic, resilient pads, as shown in each of Lu, Ching, and Chung, as well known in the art for providing axial biasing forces, for controlling hinge motion.

Regarding (2), such structure is known in the art as an alternative means of fixing rotational movement of one hinge component relative to another hinge component in a compact manner, providing ease in assembly. Katoh ('507), teaches this general concept as a way of preventing rotational movement between

two hinge components (3, 5). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one skilled in the art to having included such a modification in the combination for the purpose of providing an alternative means of fixing rotational movement between hinge components in a compact manner, that is also provided ease in assembly.

Page 4

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the

advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened

statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the

Application/Control Number: 10/646,265

Art Unit: 3676

advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-3438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Williams

11/6/04 M_{10}

Page 5

Mant